

KINGS LAW REPORTS

(ALL SC/NOVEL CA)

(2015) KLR VOL 3 PART 361 pp. 931-1104

MARCH 2015

Dedicated to the King of kings

O. O. NOEL ESQ. Founder/Publisher

C. L. Onwuegbuna Esq. Chief Editor

INDEX OF CASES REPORTED

MARCH CONTD.

6. Aji v. Chad Basin Development Authority p. 937
7. Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953
8. Ibrahim v. State p. 995
9. Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021
10. Pius v. State p. 1053
11. Sylva v. Independent National Electoral Commission p. 1067

ACTIONS - Commencement - Limitation - Public Officers Protection Act - The plea can be raised by any of the respondents - And a successful defence under the Act ousts jurisdiction of court (H1) Sylva v. INEC p. 1067

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - Fair hearing - Lower courts findings that affected appellants' right to fair hearing are perverse - Thus the decisions cannot persist and are set aside (H6) Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953

APPEALS - Concurrent findings - The findings of the lower courts arising from due considerations - And without miscarriage of justice - Are not to be interfered with (H2) Aji v. Chad Basin Dev. Autho. p. 937

APPEALS - Land law - Concurrent findings - Interference - The findings of title over the land verged red and blue are wrong - And hereby set aside for being perverse (H7) Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

APPEALS - Locus standi - Res judicata - Appellant is stopped per rem judicatam - From bringing same case before the court - As he cannot question conduct of election - In which he did not participate (H5) Sylva v. INEC p. 1067

ARMED ROBBERY - Ingredients - Proof - Prosecution must prove factual reality of a robbery - Participation of accused in the robbery - And that he was armed with firearms (H4) Ibrahim v. State p. 995

ARMED ROBBERY - Proof - Failure in PW testimony - Non direction by trial court on effect of failure to name appellant - Occasioned a miscarriage of justice (H5) Ibrahim v. State p. 995

CHARGES - Drafting - Omissions - Weight - Non material errors in stating particulars of offence are of no moment - Unless accused was in fact misled by such error (H2) Ibrahim v. State p. 995

CHARGES - Language of - Fair hearing - 1999 Constitution s. 6(a) - Every person charged with an offence - Shall be informed promptly

in language he understands and in details - Of nature of the offence (H1) Ibrahim v. State p. 995

CHARGES - Objection - Time - Objection to a charge for any formal defect - Shall be taken immediately after the charge had been read over to accused - Before he takes his plea (H3) Ibrahim v. State p. 995

CONSTITUTIONAL LAW - Constitution - Supremacy of - With regard to joinder of appellants in the matter - 1999 Constitution s. 1(1)(3) prevails over FHC Rules O. 9 r. 14(1) (H5) Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953

CONTRACTS - Contract of service - Proof - Aggrieved employee following termination from service - Must plead and prove his contract of service - To substantiate his claim (H1) Aji v. Chad Basin Dev. Autho. p. 937

COURTS - Parties - Joinder of - Is ordered where the party is aggrieved - To avoid multiplicity of suits - Completely deal with the suit - Ensure fair hearing and to avoid loss of jurisdiction (H4) Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Burden of - Evidence Act s. 38 - Accused in criminal trials would not be convicted - Unless prosecution proves the offence beyond reasonable doubt (H7) Ibrahim v. State p. 995

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Proof - Material witness - Where there is a vital point in issue - And there is one witness whose evidence would settle it one way or the other - That witness ought to be called (H6) Ibrahim v. State p. 995

CRIMINAL PROCEDURE - Robbery - Conviction - Even if Exhibit E was expunged from the record - There was still evidence to find guilt of robbery - Hence appellant was rightly convicted for robbery (H1) Pius v. State p. 1053

DOCUMENTS - Amendment - Commencement - Amendment takes effect not from the date it is ordered by court - But from the date of the original document (H2) Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953

ELECTIONS - Fair hearing - Breach - Reliefs granted to 1st to 17th respondents in absence of appellants - Is in breach of right to fair hearing of the latter - Hence the proceedings being perverse is set aside (H3) Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953

ELECTIONS - Pre election - Jurisdiction - Where there is complaint about conduct of primaries - Court can by Electoral Act s. 87(9) - Examine if the election complied with the Act and constitution of the political party (H1) Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953

EVIDENCE - Evaluation - And ascription of probative value to evidence - Are within the exclusive preserve of trial court - But where it relates to a document - Such rights are not exclusive to the court (H1) Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

EVIDENCE - Tainted witness - Where a witness is said to be tainted - Court must warn itself before admitting his evidence - And if he is an accomplice - His evidence requires corroboration (H2) Pius v. State p. 1053

FAIR HEARING - Public Officers Protection Act - Defence - A person cannot be said to have been given fair hearing - If he is not allowed to rely on the provisions of an Act of parliament as defence (H2) Sylva v. INEC p. 1067

JURISDICTION - Action - Striking out - Where court has no jurisdiction to determine a case - It has no jurisdiction to dismiss it - It should strike out the matter (H3) Sylva v. INEC p. 1067

JURISDICTION - Determination - Court has jurisdiction to determine whether or not it has jurisdiction over a matter - And what it says may be profound - But not made per incuriam (H4) Sylva v. INEC p.

1067

LAND LAW - Lease - Forfeiture - Condition - Forfeiture on grounds of misconduct can only be ordered to determine a valid lease - And as there is no valid lease - The courts below wrongfully made the order (H5) Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

LAND LAW - Sale - Agreement - The exhibits and respondent's testimony on oath - Confirm that there is an agreement to sell the land - And further agreement that payment shall be by installments (H3) Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

LAND LAW - Sale - Yoruba Customary Law - The sale is not valid under the custom - As respondent still retains the legal title over the land - Since the purchase price was not fully paid (H4) Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

LAND LAW - Specific performance - The sale of the land being invalid - Specific performance cannot be ordered as there is nothing to enforce (H8) Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

LAND LAW - Title - Smaller land - Where in a claim for title over a large area - Plaintiff succeeds in proving his claim for smaller area - Judgment should be given for the smaller area - Provided it is in dispute (H6) Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

PLEADINGS - Defence - Reply - Where defendant avers to a fact in statement of defence - And plaintiff fails to file a reply - Plaintiff may lead evidence to deny the averment in the statement of defence (H2) Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

INDEX OF STATUTES & RULES

Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria 1999, s. 36(5) Ibrahim v. State p. 995, ss. 1(1)(3), 36(1) Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953, ss. 1(3), 36 Aji v. Chad Basin Dev. Autho. p. 937, ss. 6(6)(b), 33, 36(1) Sylva v. INEC p. 1067

Contracts Law, s. 2 Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

Criminal Procedure Law of Oyo State, ss. 166, 167, 168 Ibrahim v. State p. 995

Electoral Act 2010, s. 87(9)(10) Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953

Evidence Act 2011, s. 258 Ibrahim v. State p. 995, ss. 199, 208, 209 Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

Federal High Court (Civil Procedure Rules), O. 9 r. 14(1) Akpamgbo-Okadigbo v. Chidi(1) p. 953

Lands Instrument Registration Law of Ogun State, s. 2 Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

Property & Conveyancing Law of Ogun State, s. 67(i) Ogundalu v. Macjob p. 1021

Public Officers Protection Act Cap. 141 LFN 2004, s. 2(a) Sylva v. INEC p. 1067

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap R11 LFN 2004, ss. 1(2)(a), 6(b) Ibrahim v. State p. 995

Robbery & Firearms (Special Provisions) Act Cap. 398 LFN 1990, s. 1(1) Pius v. State p. 1053

Supreme Court Act, s. 22 Sylva v. INEC p. 1067

Supreme Court Rules, O. 6 r. 5(3) Sylva v. INEC p. 1067